

Proposal for SVS Sponsored Documents

Submit to: SVS Quality Council, c/o Jamie Reid, jreid@vascularsociety.org

Date:	Name of individual/organization submitting proposal:
Type of document:	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Clinical practice guideline (CPG), including graded recommendations based on a systematic review of the literature, to promote high-quality, evidence-based patient care. A meta-analysis of study results is recommended.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate Use Criteria (AUC), based on a systematic review of the literature and the CPG, to inform the appropriateness of interventions for clinical scenarios given specific patient characteristics.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Reporting standards, including recommendations for standardized reporting of important, and clinically relevant, details in research publications, to promote completeness, transparency, and comparability of study results.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify:</p>
Proposed title:	
Suggested collaborating organizations:	<p>“Collaborating organization” refers to another medical society or patient care organization whose input (in the form of member expertise or funding or both) is desired in order to produce a multidisciplinary document that involves appropriate key stakeholders.</p> <p><i>Please provide the organization name(s) and a contact person(s) for that organization.</i></p> <p>Organization name(s):</p> <p>Contact name(s) and e-mail(s):</p>

Topic information:

1. Please provide a summary of the proposed document content, in 500 words or less.

2. What is/are the primary reason(s) you are proposing this document? Please provide your rationale.

3. Why is this topic useful for the specialty of vascular surgery?

4. Who is the target audience of this document?

5. What are the gaps in the literature that the proposed document will address? (For AUCs)

6. List key publications (e.g., similar documents, practice guidelines, etc.)

7. For AUC and CPGs, provide the proposed clinical/PICO questions for the systematic review and meta-analysis.

Question & question type (e.g., diagnosis, therapy, prognosis, harm, etc.):

<u>P</u> atient population	<u>I</u> ntervention (or exposure, test)	<u>C</u> omparison (or gold standard)	<u>O</u> utcomes	Study design(s)	Effect modifiers/ subgroup analyses
-------------------------------	---	--	------------------	-----------------	--

Question & question type:

<u>P</u> atient population	<u>I</u> ntervention (or exposure, test)	<u>C</u> omparison (or gold standard)	<u>O</u> utcomes	Study design(s)	Effect modifiers/ subgroup analyses
-------------------------------	---	--	------------------	-----------------	--

8. The National Academy of Medicine (formerly IOM) recommends including patients in guideline work groups. Will you be involving a patient or patients in your work group? (Y/N) If yes, how many patients do you intend to include in the work group? How will you recruit the patient(s)?

9. Are you requesting an SVS staff liaison to assist with development of this document? (Y/N)

10. Are you requesting funding for the development of this document, e.g., preparing the systematic evidence review? (Y/N)

For staff use

Date received	
Reviewed by	
Revised	
Approved by	
Date approved	