



2023 Quality Payment Program (QPP) Data Use Guide

Table of Contents

- [Background and Purpose](#)
- [Key QPP Terms & Definitions](#)
- [2023 QPP Participation and Performance Results At-a-Glance Data Dictionary](#)
- [2023 QPP Experience Report Data Dictionary](#)
- [2023 MVPs Reporting Supplement Data Dictionary](#)
- [Version History](#)

Background and Purpose

For the 2023 performance year, the Quality Payment Program (QPP) is publishing a suite of QPP Data Resources. These products are anchored in the program's objective to provide accurate, timely, and actionable participation and performance data to clinicians, patients, and other interested parties. These products include the 2023 QPP Participation and Performance Results At-a-Glance, 2023 QPP Experience Report, 2023 QPP Public Use File, and the 2023 QPP Data Use Guide.

Below is a description of each product.

[2023 QPP Participation and Performance Results At-a-Glance \(PDF\).](#)

This resource provides a snapshot of aggregated data for key QPP program metrics for the 2023 performance year. It provides a high-level overview of participation, scoring, and payment adjustment information.

[2023 QPP Experience Report \(PDF\).](#)

This report provides a more in-depth review of aggregated data on QPP program experience for the 2023 performance year and a review of program trends over time. It provides a more expansive set of aggregated program metrics on the following: Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) participation, Advanced Alternative Payment Model (APM) participation, performance category scoring, final scores, and payment adjustment factors (represented as percentages).

[2023 MVPs Reporting Supplement \(XLSX\).](#)

This resource provides more detailed descriptions about MVP data reported for the 2023 performance year, even if that data didn't contribute to a clinician's final score. (With the exception of some of the new MVP data added in the 2023 performance year, the Experience Report is limited to the measures, activities, and scores that contributed to a clinician's final score.) In the MVPs Reporting Supplement, we're providing data about the performance category scores and overall scores¹ which clinicians received from MVP reporting to promote transparency and provide a more complete picture of MVP participation and performance.

[2023 QPP Public Use File.](#)

This dataset includes clinician-level (non-aggregated) data for the QPP program for the 2023 performance year. It provides detailed data at the Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN)/National Provider Identifier (NPI) level regarding clinician eligibility, measure-level scoring, performance category scoring, final scores, and payment adjustment factors (represented as percentages).

There are also 2 supplemental resources for the 2023 QPP Public Use File:

- [2023 QPP Public Use File Data Dictionary](#)
- [2023 QPP Public Use File Methodology](#)

[2023 QPP Data Use Guide \(PDF\).](#)

The 2023 QPP Data Use Guide is a companion resource to the 2023 QPP Participation and Performance Results At-a-Glance, 2023 QPP Experience Report, and 2023 MVPs Reporting Supplement, providing descriptions of key terms and a detailed data dictionary for each.

QPP Data.

- Each of the QPP Data Resources was created using the QPP dataset available as of December 9, 2024.
- QPP data was validated through a rigorous process that involves multiple program code reviews, tests and retests, and data output quality controls.
- The QPP dataset is limited to the measure and activity data that contributed to a clinician's final score. Measures and activities that were submitted but didn't count toward a clinician's final score are excluded from the dataset.

¹ The term "overall score" is used in these resources to refer to the score calculated from data submitted for a MIPS reporting or participation option, but that wasn't necessarily the clinician's final score. (The overall score is between 0 and 100 points, based on all available performance categories and bonus points.) For example, a group that reported both traditional MIPS and an MVP has 2 overall scores – one calculated for traditional MIPS and the other for their MVP. The higher of these will be their final score, which determines the group's MIPS payment adjustment.

Key QPP Terms & Definitions

In this section, we'll review key terms used throughout the QPP data resources:

Term	Description
Alternative Payment Model (APM)	<p>A payment approach that gives added incentive payments to reward healthcare providers for delivering high-quality and coordinated care. APMs can apply to a specific clinical condition, a care episode, or a population.</p> <p>Pertinent information in the 3 resources refers to MIPS APMs and Advanced APMs.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A MIPS APM has MIPS eligible clinicians participating in the APM on their Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) approved participation list. An Advanced APM is a type of APM that includes specific features. It allows participants to seek Qualifying APM Participant (QP) status by achieving threshold levels of payments or patients through their Advanced APM Entity. Most Advanced APMs are also MIPS APMs.
APM Entity	<p>An APM Entity is an organization that participates in an APM or Other Payer arrangement through a direct agreement with CMS or other payers, or through a federal or state law or regulation. A Medicare Shared Savings Program Accountable Care Organization (ACO) is an example of an APM Entity. An APM Entity in a MIPS APM can participate in MIPS and submit data on behalf of its MIPS eligible clinicians.</p>
Collection Type	<p>Collection type refers to the way a clinician collects data for a quality measure. While an individual quality measure may be collected in multiple ways, each collection type has its own specifications (instructions) for reporting that measure. For example, there are 4 collection types available for reporting MIPS Quality ID 001 (Diabetes: Hemoglobin A1c [HbA1c] Poor Control [$>9\%$]²).</p> <p>The following collection types were available for the 2023 performance year:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative Claims Measures Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS) for MIPS Survey Measure CMS Web Interface Measures Electronic Clinical Quality Measures (eCQMs) Medicare Part B Claims Measures MIPS Clinical Quality Measures (MIPS CQMs) Qualified Clinical Data Registry (QCDR) Measures

² The title of this measure was updated to "Diabetes: Glycemic Status Assessment Greater Than 9%" beginning with the 2025 performance period.

Engaged Clinician	An engaged clinician refers to a MIPS eligible clinician who (1) submitted at least one measure, attestation, or activity, or (2) who participated in a MIPS APM and received automatic credit in the improvement activities performance category because of their APM participation. Data could be submitted through the individual, group, subgroup, virtual group, or APM Entity participation option .
Final Score	A value between 0 and 100 points that's determined by assessing a MIPS eligible clinician's applicable measures and activities for each performance category. A MIPS eligible clinician's final score determines their MIPS payment adjustment. (Learn more in the 2025 MIPS Payment Year (2023 Performance Year): Payment Adjustment User Guide [PDF, 1MB] .)
Mean	<p>The average value of a set of numbers, determined by the sum of the numbers in the set divided by the count of the numbers in the set.</p> <p>Example: 1, 2, 2, 5, 10.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of these numbers is 20. There are 5 numbers in the set. 20 divided by 5 equals 4. • The mean is 4.
Median	<p>The middle value of a set of numbers.</p> <p>Example: 1, 2, 2, 5, 10.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The median is 2 (the middle value).
MIPS Eligible Clinician	A clinician who meets certain criteria and will receive a MIPS payment adjustment. As finalized in policy, a MIPS eligible clinician is always defined by a unique Taxpayer Identification Number/National Provider Identifier (TIN/NPI combination), which means the same provider can be represented in QPP data under multiple TIN/NPI combinations. Refer to the TIN/NPI combination entry for an example.
National Provider Identifier (NPI)	<p>A unique 10-digit identifier issued to providers. Each provider has a single NPI.</p> <p>A limited number of metrics related to Qualifying APM Participants in these products count providers by their unique NPI, while most counts are based on a unique combination of TIN and NPI. Refer to the TIN/NPI combination entry for more information.</p>
Non-Reporting Clinician	A non-reporting clinician was required to report (i.e., an individually eligible clinician, an opt-in eligible clinician or group that submitted an election to opt in to the program, or a clinician in a CMS-approved virtual group) but didn't actively submit any data for the quality, Promoting Interoperability, or improvement activities performance categories. (This includes clinicians who received reweighting in one or more performance categories)

	<p>because of an exception application, the extreme and uncontrollable circumstances (EUC) policy, or special status.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Because they were required to report, they'll receive a final score and MIPS payment adjustment even without actively submitting data. • These clinicians may still have been scored on administrative claims measures that are automatically calculated by CMS for the quality and/or cost performance categories or may have received facility-based scoring in the quality and cost performance categories (derived from the total performance score in the Hospital Value-Based Purchasing Program). <p>Please note we refined our logic for identifying non-reporting clinicians with the publication of the 2023 report. As such, the non-reporting data in the 2023 report for PY 2021 and 2022 may not match data for these years in the 2022 report.</p>
Overall Score	<p>The term “overall score” is used in these resources to refer to the score calculated from data submitted for a MIPS reporting or participation option, but that wasn’t necessarily the clinician’s final score. (The overall score is between 0 and 100 points, based on all available performance categories and bonus points.) For example, a group that reported both traditional MIPS and an MVP has 2 overall scores – one calculated for traditional MIPS and the other for their MVP. The higher of these will be their final score, which determines the group’s MIPS payment adjustment.</p>
Participation Option	<p>Participation options refer to the level at which data is collected and submitted to CMS for MIPS. There are 5 participation options available beginning with the 2023 performance year:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Individual: Data is collected and submitted by or on behalf of an individual MIPS eligible clinician (identified by TIN/NPI). • Group: Data is collected and submitted on behalf of all MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice (identified by TIN). • Subgroup: Data is collected and submitted on behalf of a subset of the MIPS eligible clinicians within a single TIN (identified by subgroup ID). • Virtual group: Data is collected and submitted on behalf of all MIPS eligible clinicians in a CMS-approved virtual group (identified by virtual group ID) — a group of clinicians spanning multiple TINs, each with 10 or fewer associated NPIs. • APM Entity: Data is collected and submitted on behalf of the MIPS eligible clinicians in an APM Entity (identified by APM ID).

Payment Adjustment Types	<p>There are 4 MIPS payment adjustment types, each of which is associated with a final score range.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Max Negative: The maximum negative payment adjustment is -9%. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ This is assigned to MIPS eligible clinicians with a 2023 final score between 0 and 18.75 points. • Negative: A negative payment adjustment is between -9% and 0%. The exact percentage is determined by the final score. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ This is assigned to MIPS eligible clinicians with a 2023 final score between 18.76 and 74.99 points. • Neutral: A neutral payment adjustment is 0% (i.e., no increase or decrease to payment). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ This is assigned to MIPS eligible clinicians with a 2023 final score of 75 points. • Positive: A positive payment adjustment is greater than 0%. This adjustment is subject to a scaling factor to preserve budget neutrality. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ This is assigned to MIPS eligible clinicians with a 2023 final score between 75.01 and 100 points. <p>Exceptional: The exceptional payment adjustment — an additional positive payment adjustment for exceptional performance, subject to a scaling factor to account for available funds — expired after the 2022 performance year/2024 MIPS payment year.</p>
Performance Threshold	<p>The minimum final score needed to avoid a negative adjustment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The performance threshold is set at 75 points for the 2023 performance year.
Practice Size	<p>The number of clinicians (identified by NPI) billing under a practice's TIN in the second 12-month segment of the MIPS determination period (October 1, 2022 – September 30, 2023, for the 2023 performance year). In this report, practice sizes are defined as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 clinician (solo practitioner) • 2 – 15 clinicians • 16 – 99 clinicians • 100 or more (100+) clinicians
Qualifying APM Participant (QP)	<p>QP and Partial QP status are determined by the volume of patients seen and payments received by clinicians in an Advanced APM.</p> <p>QPs receive at least 50% of Medicare Part B payments or see at least 35% of Medicare patients through an Advanced APM Entity. They're excluded from MIPS. They aren't eligible to receive a MIPS payment adjustment but will receive a financial incentive for being a QP.</p>

Partially Qualifying APM Participant (Partial QP)	<p>Partial QPs receive at least 40% of Medicare Part B payments OR see at least 25% of Medicare patients through an Advanced APM Entity. They can choose whether to participate in MIPS. If they elect to participate, they'll receive a MIPS payment adjustment. Partial QPs aren't eligible for QP incentives.</p>
Reporting Option	<p>There are 3 MIPS reporting options available to MIPS eligible clinicians to meet MIPS reporting requirements beginning with the 2023 performance year:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traditional MIPS, established in the first year of QPP, is the original reporting option for MIPS. • The Alternative Payment Model (APM) Performance Pathway (APP) is a streamlined reporting option for clinicians who participate in a MIPS APM. • MIPS Value Pathways (MVPs) are the newest reporting option, offering a streamlined, reduced set of measures and improvement activities focused on a specialty or medical condition.
Safety Net Provider	<p>MIPS eligible clinicians who are in the top 20th percentile in their percentage of patients who are enrolled in Medicare Part A and Part B as well as full-benefit Medicaid, also known as dually eligible individuals.</p> <p>The dual eligibility ratio is specific to the participation option through which the MIPS eligible clinician earned their final score.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For example, if the clinician received a final score from group participation, their safety net provider status is based on the group's dual eligibility ratio.
Special Status	<p>CMS analyzes Medicare claims to determine and assign special statuses to clinicians, groups, virtual groups, and APM Entities. Special statuses result in varying flexibilities for scoring and reporting. Most special statuses are assigned if you meet the criteria in 1 of the 2 year-long segments of the MIPS Determination Period. Learn more about special statuses in the 2023 performance year.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small practices have 15 or fewer clinicians (NPIs) billing under the practice's TIN; solo practitioners also receive this special status. Rural practices are located in a ZIP code designated as rural by the Federal Office of Rural Health Policy (FORHP) using the most recent FORHP Eligible ZIP code file available.
Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN)	<p>A TIN is a unique 9-digit identifier used for billing and tax purposes. A TIN can belong to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A clinician (if self-employed) • A practice • An organization like a hospital <p>A group is identified by its TIN.</p>

TIN/NPI Combination	<p>When a clinician reassigns their Medicare billing rights to a TIN, their NPI becomes associated with that TIN. This association is referred to as a TIN/NPI combination.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If a clinician reassigns billing rights to multiple TINs, they'll have multiple TIN/NPI combinations. <p>A MIPS eligible clinician is identified by a unique TIN/NPI combination.</p> <p>Example:</p> <p>Dr. Keller (NPI 1234567890) has reassigned her billing rights to Better Health Practice (TIN 987654321). She's also reassigned her billing rights to Advanced Health Care Systems (TIN 876543210).</p> <p>Dr. Keller has 2 TIN/NPI combinations and is eligible for MIPS under each. As a result, Dr. Keller will be included in the number of MIPS eligible clinicians twice — once for each of her 2 TIN/NPI combinations.</p>
----------------------------	--

2023 QPP Participation and Performance Results At-a-Glance Data Dictionary

This section provides descriptions of each metric included in the 2023 QPP Participation and Performance Results At-A-Glance.

1. General Participation Numbers in 2023

Metric	Description
Total clinicians who will receive a MIPS payment adjustment	Sum of distinct MIPS eligible clinicians (identified by unique TIN/NPI combinations) who will receive a MIPS payment adjustment that is either positive, neutral, or negative.
Total non-reporting clinicians (clinicians who didn't submit data) receiving a MIPS payment adjustment	Sum of distinct individually eligible clinicians (identified by unique TIN/NPI combinations) who didn't actively submit data for any of these 3 performance categories: quality, improvement activities, and Promoting Interoperability.
Total number of Qualifying APM Participants (QPs)	Sum of distinct providers (identified by unique NPI) in an Advanced APM that achieved QP status for the 2023 performance year.
Total number of Partially Qualifying APM Participants (Partial QPs)	Sum of distinct providers (identified by unique NPI) in an Advanced APM that achieved Partial QP status for the 2023 performance year.

2. [Non-Reporting Clinician](#) Rates in 2023 (Overall and Small Practices)

Metric	Definition
Overall rate (%)	Number of MIPS eligible clinicians who didn't submit data divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians.
Small practice rate (%)	Number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status who didn't submit data divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status.
Solo practitioner rate (%)	Number of MIPS eligible solo practitioners who didn't submit data divided by the total number of MIPS eligible solo practitioners.

3. MVP Participation

Metric	Definition
Clinicians registered for an MVP	Number of MIPS eligible clinicians who registered to report an MVP at the individual, group, subgroup, or APM Entity level.
Clinicians reported an MVP	Number of MIPS eligible clinicians who were registered for an MVP and submitted MVP data.
Clinicians got their final score from MVP reporting	Number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a MIPS final score based on the MVP data they submitted.
MVPs available	Number of MVPs finalized through rulemaking for reporting in the 2023 performance year.
MVPs registered for	Number of MVPs included in MVP registrations for the 2023 performance year.
MVPs reported	Number of MVPs for which data was submitted for the 2023 performance year.

4. Final Score Information

Metric	Definition
<u>Mean</u> final score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overall: Sum of all final scores assigned to MIPS eligible clinicians divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score. • Traditional MIPS: Sum of all final scores from traditional MIPS assigned to MIPS eligible clinicians divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from traditional MIPS reporting. • APM Performance Pathway: Sum of all final scores from the APM Performance Pathway assigned to MIPS eligible clinicians divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APM Performance Pathway reporting. • MIPS Value Pathways: Sum of all final scores from a MIPS Value Pathway assigned to MIPS eligible clinicians divided by the total

	number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from MIPS Value Pathway reporting.
Median final score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overall: The middle value of the set of final scores assigned to all MIPS eligible clinicians. • Traditional MIPS: The middle value of the set of final scores assigned to MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from traditional MIPS reporting. • APM Performance Pathway: The middle value of the set of final scores assigned to MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APM Performance Pathway reporting. • MIPS Value Pathways: The middle value of the set of final scores assigned to MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from MIPS Value Pathway reporting.

5. Payment Adjustment Highlights for MIPS Eligible Clinicians

Metric	Definition
Percentage of MIPS eligible clinicians in payment adjustment range	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Neutral payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Positive payment adjustment (final score between 75.01 and 88.99 points) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Positive payment adjustment (final score between 89.00 and 100 points) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians.

6. Final Scores by Participation Option

Metric	Definition
Mean final score by participation option	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of all final scores assigned to each MIPS eligible clinician (earned from individual participation) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who earned a final score from individual participation. • The sum of all final scores assigned to each MIPS eligible clinician (earned from group participation) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who earned a final score from group participation. • The sum of all final scores assigned to each MIPS eligible clinician (earned from subgroup participation) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who earned a final score from subgroup participation. • The sum of all final scores assigned to each MIPS eligible clinician (earned from virtual group participation) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who earned a final score from virtual group participation. • The sum of all final scores assigned to each MIPS eligible clinician (earned from APM Entity participation) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who earned a final score from APM Entity participation.
Median final score by participation option	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of a set of final scores (earned from individual participation) assigned to all MIPS eligible clinicians who earned a final score from individual participation. • The middle value of a set of final scores (earned from group participation) assigned to all MIPS eligible clinicians participating as a group. • The middle value of a set of final scores (earned from subgroup participation) assigned to all MIPS eligible clinicians. • The middle value of a set of final scores (earned from virtual group participation) assigned to all MIPS eligible clinicians. • The middle value of a set of final scores (earned from APM Entity participation) assigned to all MIPS eligible clinicians.

7. Mean and Median Unweighted Scores for Each Performance Category

Metric	Definition
Mean unweighted score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of all unweighted scores assigned to MIPS eligible clinicians for the quality* performance category divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a score for the quality performance category. The sum of all unweighted scores assigned to MIPS eligible clinicians for the cost** performance category divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a score for the cost performance category. The sum of all unweighted scores assigned to MIPS eligible clinicians for the Promoting Interoperability performance category divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a score for the Promoting Interoperability performance category. The sum of all unweighted scores assigned to MIPS eligible clinicians for the improvement activities*** performance category divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a score for the improvement activities performance category.
Median unweighted score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The middle value of a set of unweighted scores for the quality* performance category assigned to MIPS eligible clinicians. The middle value of a set of unweighted scores for the cost** performance category assigned to MIPS eligible clinicians. The middle value of a set of unweighted scores for the Promoting Interoperability performance category assigned to MIPS eligible clinicians. The middle value of a set of unweighted scores for the improvement activities*** performance category assigned to MIPS eligible clinicians.

*Unweighted quality scores are inclusive of small practice bonus points and quality improvement scores.

**Unweighted cost scores are inclusive of cost improvement scores.

***Unweighted improvement activity scores are inclusive of clinicians who receive automatic credit in this performance category from APM participation.

8. Snapshot of 2025 Payment Adjustments for Small, Solo, and Rural Practices

Metric	Definition
Percentage of MIPS eligible clinicians (all)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of all MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians. • The number of all MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians. • The number of all MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Neutral payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians. • The number of all MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Positive payment adjustment (final score between 75.01 and 88.99 points) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians. • The number of all MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Positive payment adjustment (final score between 89.00 and 100 points) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians.
Percentage of small practices (overall)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status receiving a Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status receiving a Neutral payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status receiving a Positive payment adjustment (final score between 75.01 and 88.99 points) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status receiving a Positive payment adjustment (final score between 89.00 and 100 points) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status.

<p>Percentage of small practices (non-reporting)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians who (1) didn't submit data, (2) have the small practice special status, and (3) are receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians who (1) didn't submit data, (2) have the small practice special status, and (3) are receiving a Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians who (1) didn't submit data, (2) have the small practice special status, and (3) are receiving a Neutral payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians who (1) didn't submit data, (2) have the small practice special status, and (3) are receiving a Positive payment adjustment (final score between 75.01 and 88.99 points) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians who (1) didn't submit data, (2) have the small practice special status, and (3) are receiving a Positive payment adjustment (final score between 89.00 and 100 points) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status.
<p>Percentage of solo practitioners (overall)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of solo practitioners receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of solo practitioners. • The number of solo practitioners receiving a Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of solo practitioners. • The number of solo practitioners receiving a Neutral payment adjustment divided by the total number of solo practitioners. • The number of solo practitioners receiving a Positive payment adjustment (final score between 75.01 and 88.99 points) divided by the total number of solo practitioners. • The number of solo practitioners receiving a Positive payment adjustment (final score between 89.00 and 100 points) divided by the total number of solo practitioners.
<p>Percentage of solo practitioners (non-reporting)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of solo practitioners who didn't submit data and are receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of solo practitioners. • The number of solo practitioners who didn't submit data and are receiving a Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of solo practitioners.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of solo practitioners who didn't submit data and are receiving a Neutral payment adjustment divided by the total number of solo practitioners. • The number of solo practitioners who didn't submit data and are receiving a Positive payment adjustment (final score between 75.01 and 88.99 points) divided by the total number of solo practitioners. • The number of solo practitioners who didn't submit data and are receiving a Positive payment adjustment (final score between 89.00 and 100 points) divided by the total number of solo practitioners.
Percentage of rural practices (overall)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural practice special status receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural practice special status. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural practice special status receiving a Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural practice special status. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural practice special status receiving a Neutral payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural practice special status. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural practice special status receiving a Positive payment adjustment (final score between 75.01 and 88.99 points) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural practice special status. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural practice special status receiving a Positive payment adjustment (final score between 89.00 and 100 points) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural practice special status.
Percentage of rural practices (non-reporting)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians who (1) didn't submit data, (2) have the rural special status, and (3) are receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural practice special status. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians who (1) didn't submit data, (2) have the rural special status, and (3) are receiving a Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural practice special status. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians who (1) didn't submit data, (2) have the rural special status, and (3) are receiving a Neutral payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural practice special status.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians who (1) didn't submit data, (2) have the rural special status, and (3) are receiving a Positive payment adjustment (final score between 75.01 and 88.99 points) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural practice special status. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians who (1) didn't submit data, (2) have the rural special status, and (3) are receiving a Positive payment adjustment (final score between 89.00 and 100 points) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural practice special status.
--	--

9. MIPS Participation Changes

Metric	Definition
Total clinicians receiving a MIPS payment adjustment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The total number of all MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a MIPS payment adjustment for the 2021 performance year. • The total number of all MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a MIPS payment adjustment for the 2022 performance year. • The total number of all MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a MIPS payment adjustment for the 2023 performance year.
Change (percentage) in MIPS eligible clinicians from 2021 to 2022	The percentage is calculated using the following formula: (2021 number minus 2022 number) divided by 2021 number.
Change (percentage) in MIPS eligible clinicians from 2022 to 2023	The percentage is calculated using the following formula: (2022 number minus 2023 number) divided by 2022 number.

10. Final Score Changes

Metric	Definition
Mean final score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of all final scores assigned to MIPS eligible clinicians in 2021 divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score in 2021. • The sum of all final scores assigned to MIPS eligible clinicians in 2022 divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score in 2022.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of all final scores assigned to MIPS eligible clinicians in 2023 divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score in 2023.
Median final score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The middle value of a set of final scores assigned to all MIPS eligible clinicians in 2021. The middle value of a set of final scores assigned to all MIPS eligible clinicians in 2022. The middle value of a set of final scores assigned to all MIPS eligible clinicians in 2023.

11. MIPS Eligible Clinicians: Payment Adjustment Changes

Metric	Definition
Percentage of MIPS eligible clinicians receiving an Exceptional adjustment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2021: The number of all MIPS eligible clinicians receiving an Exceptional payment adjustment for the 2021 performance year divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2021. 2022: The number of all MIPS eligible clinicians receiving an Exceptional payment adjustment for the 2022 performance year divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2022. 2023: N/A (There are no clinicians receiving an exceptional adjustment in the 2023 performance year.)
Percentage of MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Positive Only adjustment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2021: The number of all MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Positive Only payment adjustment (final score of 60.01 to 84.99 points) for the 2021 performance year divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2021. 2022: The number of all MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Positive Only payment adjustment (final score of 75.01 to 88.99 points) for the 2022 performance year divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2022. 2023: The number of all MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Positive payment adjustment (final score 75.01 to 100 points) for the 2023 performance year divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2023.

Percentage of MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Neutral adjustment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of all MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Neutral payment adjustment for the 2021 performance year divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2021. The number of all MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Neutral payment adjustment for the 2022 performance year divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2022. The number of all MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Neutral payment adjustment for the 2023 performance year divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2023.
Percentage of MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Negative adjustment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of all MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Negative payment adjustment for the 2021 performance year divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2021. The number of all MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Negative payment adjustment for the 2022 performance year divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2022. The number of all MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Negative payment adjustment for the 2023 performance year divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2023.
Percentage of MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Max Negative adjustment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of all MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment for the 2021 performance year divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2021. The number of all MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment for the 2022 performance year divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2022. The number of all MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment for the 2023 performance year divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2023.

12. Qualifying APM Participation Changes

Metric	Definition
Total number of Advanced APM participants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of all clinicians (identified by NPI) who participated in an Advanced APM, regardless of QP status in 2021. The number of all clinicians (identified by NPI) who participated in an Advanced APM, regardless of QP status in 2022. The number of all clinicians (identified by NPI) who participated in an Advanced APM, regardless of QP status in 2023.

Total number of QPs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of all clinicians (identified by NPI) who participated in an Advanced APM and achieved QP status in 2021. • The number of all clinicians (identified by NPI) who participated in an Advanced APM and achieved QP status in 2022. • The number of all clinicians (identified by NPI) who participated in an Advanced APM and achieved QP status in 2023.
Total number of Partial QPs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of all clinicians (identified by NPI) who participated in an Advanced APM and achieved Partial QP status in 2021. • The number of all clinicians (identified by NPI) who participated in an Advanced APM and achieved Partial QP status in 2022. • The number of all clinicians (identified by NPI) who participated in an Advanced APM and achieved Partial QP status in 2023.

2023 QPP Experience Report Data Dictionary

This section provides descriptions of each metric included in the 2023 QPP Experience Report.

Table 1. Overall MIPS Participation

Metric	Description
Number of MIPS Eligible Clinicians (All)	Sum of distinct MIPS eligible clinicians (identified by unique TIN/NPI combinations) who will receive a MIPS payment adjustment that is either exceptional, positive, neutral, or negative.
Number of MIPS Eligible Clinicians (Non-Reporting)	Sum of distinct individually eligible clinicians (identified by unique TIN/NPI combinations) who didn't actively submit data for any of these 3 performance categories: quality, improvement activities, and Promoting Interoperability.
Percent of MIPS Eligible Clinicians (Non-Reporting)	The number of MIPS eligible clinicians who didn't submit data divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians.

Table 2. Changes in MIPS Participation

Metric	Description
Change in MIPS Eligible Clinicians from prior year (Number)	<p>2021 to 2022</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2022 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2021. The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in 2022 minus the number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in 2021. <p>2022 to 2023</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2023 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2022. The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in 2023 minus the number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in 2022.
Change in MIPS Eligible Clinicians from prior year (Percentage)	<p>2021 to 2022</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2022 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2021) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2021. (The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in 2022 minus the number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in 2021)

	<p>divided by the number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in 2021.</p> <p>2022 to 2023</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2023 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2022) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in 2022. (The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in 2023 minus the number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in 2022) divided by the number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in 2022.
--	---

Table 3a. MIPS Participation by [Practice Size](#)

Metric	Description
Number of MIPS Eligible Clinicians (All)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians identified as solo practitioners in the 2021, 2022, and 2023 performance years. The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians in the 2021, 2022, and 2023 performance years. The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians in the 2021, 2022, and 2023 performance years. The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100+ clinicians in the 2021, 2022, and 2023 performance years.
Number of MIPS Eligible Clinicians (Engaged)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians identified as solo practitioners in the 2021, 2022, and 2023 performance years. The number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians in the 2021, 2022, and 2023 performance years. The number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians in the 2021, 2022, and 2023 performance years. The number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100+ clinicians in the 2021, 2022, and 2023 performance years.
Number of MIPS Eligible Clinicians (Non-Reporting)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians identified as solo practitioners in the 2021, 2022, and 2023 performance years. The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians in the 2021, 2022, and 2023 performance years. The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians in the 2021, 2022, and 2023 performance years.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100+ clinicians in the 2021, 2022, and 2023 performance years.
Rate of Non-Reporting Clinicians	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians identified as solo practitioners in the 2021 performance year divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians identified as solo practitioners in the 2021 performance year. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Note: The same calculation is performed for the 2022 and 2023 performance years. The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians in the 2021 performance year divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians in the 2021 performance year. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Note: The same calculation is performed for the 2022 and 2023 performance years. The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians in the 2021 performance year divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians in the 2021 performance year. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Note: The same calculation is performed for the 2022 and 2023 performance years. The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100+ clinicians in the 2021 performance year divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100+ clinicians in the 2021 performance year. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Note: The same calculation is performed for the 2022 and 2023 performance years.

Table 3b. Changes in MIPS Participation by Practice Size

Table 3b Changes in MIPS Participation by Practice Size Metric	Description
Change in Participation by Practice Size from prior year (Number)	<p>2021 to 2022</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians identified as solo practitioners in 2022 minus the total number of solo practitioners in 2021. The total number of clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians in 2022 minus the total number of clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians in 2021.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians in 2022 minus the total number of clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians in 2021. The total number of clinicians in a practice with 100+ clinicians in 2022 minus the total number of clinicians in a practice with 100+ clinicians in 2021. <p>2022 to 2023</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians identified as solo practitioners in 2023 minus the total number of solo practitioners in 2022. The total number of clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians in 2023 minus the total number of clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians in 2022. The total number of clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians in 2023 minus the total number of clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians in 2022. The total number of clinicians in a practice with 100+ clinicians in 2023 minus the total number of clinicians in a practice with 100+ clinicians in 2022.
Change in Participation by Practice Size from prior year (Percentage)	<p>2021 to 2022</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians identified as solo practitioners in 2022 minus the total number of solo practitioners in 2021) divided by the total number of solo practitioners in 2021. (The total number of clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians in 2022 minus the total number of clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians in 2021) divided by the total number of clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians in 2021. (The total number of clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians in 2022 minus the total number of clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians in 2021) divided by the total number of clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians in 2021. (The total number of clinicians in a practice with 100+ clinicians in 2022 minus the total number of clinicians in a practice with 100+ clinicians in 2021) divided by the total number of clinicians in a practice with 100+ clinicians in 2021. <p>2022 to 2023</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians identified as solo practitioners in 2023 minus the total number of solo practitioners in 2022) divided by the total number of solo practitioners in 2022.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (The total number of clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians in 2023 minus the total number of clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians in 2022) divided by the total number of clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians in 2022. • (The total number of clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians in 2023 minus the total number of clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians in 2022) divided by the total number of clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians in 2022. • (The total number of clinicians in a practice with 100+ clinicians in 2023 minus the total number of clinicians in a practice with 100+ clinicians in 2022) divided by the total number of clinicians in a practice with 100+ clinicians in 2022.
--	--

Table 4a. MIPS Participation by [Special Status/ Designation](#)

Metric	Description
Number of MIPS Eligible Clinicians (All)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status in the 2021, 2022, and 2023 performance years. • The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status in the 2021, 2022, and 2023 performance years. • The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers in the 2021, 2022, and 2023 performance years.
Number of MIPS Eligible Clinicians (Non-Reporting)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible with the small practice special status in the 2021, 2022, and 2023 performance years. • The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status in the 2021, 2022, and 2023 performance years. • The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers in the 2021, 2022, and 2023 performance years.
Rate of Non-Reporting Clinicians	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status in the 2021 performance year divided by the total number of MIPS eligible with the small practice special status in the 2021 performance year. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Note: The same calculation is performed for the 2022 and 2023 performance years. • The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status in the 2021 performance year divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians in the 2021 performance year. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Note: The same calculation is performed for the 2022 and 2023 performance years.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers in the 2021 performance year divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers in the 2021 performance year. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Note: The same calculation is performed for the 2022 and 2023 performance years.
--	---

Table 4b. Changes in MIPS Participation by Special Status/ Designation

Metric	Description
Change in Participation by Special Status/Designation from prior year (Number)	<p>2021 to 2022</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status in 2022 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status in 2021. The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status in 2022 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status in 2021. The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers in 2022 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers in 2021. <p>2022 to 2023</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status in 2023 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status in 2022. The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status in 2023 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status in 2022. The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers in 2023 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers in 2022.
Change in Participation by Special Status/Designation from prior year (Percentage)	<p>2021 to 2022</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status in 2022 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status in 2021) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status in 2021. (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status in 2022 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status in 2021) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status in 2021.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers in 2022 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers in 2021) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers in 2021. <p>2022 to 2023</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status in 2023 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status in 2022) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status in 2022. • (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status in 2023 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status in 2022) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status in 2022. • (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers in 2023 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers in 2022) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers in 2022.
--	--

Table 5. MIPS Participation and Non-Reporting by [MIPS Eligible Clinician Type](#)

Metric	Description
Number of MIPS Eligible Clinicians (All)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians of a specific clinician type in the 2021, 2022, and 2023 performance years.
Number of MIPS Eligible Clinicians (Non-Reporting)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians of a specific clinician type in the 2021, 2022, and 2023 performance years.
Rate of Non-Reporting Clinicians	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians of a specific clinician type in the 2021 performance year divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians of that same clinician type in the 2021 performance year. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Note: The same calculation is performed for the 2022 and 2023 performance years.

Table 6. Changes in MIPS Participation by Clinician Type

Metric	Description
Change in Participation by Clinician Type from prior year (Number)	<p>2021 to 2022</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians of a specific clinician type in 2022 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians of that same clinician type in 2021. <p>2022 to 2023</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians of a specific clinician type in 2023 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians of that same clinician type in 2022.
Change in Participation by Clinician Type from prior year (Percentage)	<p>2021 to 2022</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians of a specific clinician type in 2022 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians of that same clinician type in 2021) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians of that same clinician type in 2021. <p>2022 to 2023</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians of a specific clinician type in 2023 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians of that same clinician type in 2022) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians of that same clinician type in 2022.

Table 7. MIPS Participation by [Participation Option](#)

Metric	Description
Number of MIPS Eligible Clinicians	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians overall in 2021, 2022 and 2023. The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from individual participation in 2021, 2022 and 2023.. The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from group participation in 2021, 2022 and 2023.. The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from subgroup participation in 2021, 2022 and 2023. The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from virtual group participation in 2021, 2022 and 2023.. The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APM Entity participation in 2021, 2022 and 2023..

Percentage of all MIPS Eligible Clinicians	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians overall in 2021 divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians overall in 2021. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Note: The same calculation is performed for the 2022 and 2023 performance years. The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from individual participation in 2021 divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians overall in 2021. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Note: The same calculation is performed for the 2022 and 2023 performance years. The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from group participation in 2021 divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians overall in 2021. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Note: The same calculation is performed for the 2022 and 2023 performance years. The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from subgroup participation in 2023 divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians overall in 2023. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Note: The subgroup participation option wasn't available in the 2021 and 2022 performance years. The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from virtual group participation in 2021 divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians overall in 2021. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Note: The same calculation is performed for the 2022 and 2023 performance years. The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APM Entity participation in 2021 divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians overall in 2021. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Note: The same calculation is performed for the 2022 and 2023 performance years.
--	--

Table 8. Changes in MIPS Participation Options

Metric	Description
Change in Participation, by Participation Option, from prior year (Number)	<p>Note: The subgroup participation option was introduced in the 2023 performance year (year over year change = N/A).</p> <p>2021 to 2022</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from individual participation in 2022 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from individual participation in 2021. The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from group participation in 2022 minus the total number of

	<p>MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from group participation in 2021.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from virtual group participation in 2022 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from virtual group participation in 2021. • The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APM Entity participation in 2022 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APM Entity participation in 2021. <p>2022 to 2023</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from individual participation in 2023 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from individual participation in 2022. • The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from group participation in 2023 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from group participation in 2022. • The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from virtual group participation in 2023 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from virtual group participation in 2022. • The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APM Entity participation in 2023 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APM Entity participation in 2022.
<p>Change in Participation, by Participation Option, from prior year (Percentage)</p>	<p>2021 to 2022</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from individual participation in 2022 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from individual participation in 2021) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from individual participation in 2021. • (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from group participation in 2022 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from group participation in 2021) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from group participation in 2021. • (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from virtual group participation in 2022 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from virtual group participation in 2021) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from virtual group participation in 2021.

	<p>virtual group participation in 2021) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from virtual group participation in 2021.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APM Entity participation in 2022 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APM Entity participation in 2021) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APM Entity participation in 2021. <p>2022 to 2023</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from individual participation in 2023 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from individual participation in 2022) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from individual participation in 2022. • (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from group participation in 2023 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from group participation in 2022) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from group participation in 2022. • (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from virtual group participation in 2023 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from virtual group participation in 2022) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from virtual group participation in 2022. • (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APM Entity participation in 2023 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APM Entity participation in 2022) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APM Entity participation in 2022.
--	---

Table 9. MIPS Participation by [Reporting Option](#)

Metric	Description
Number of MIPS Eligible Clinicians	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from traditional MIPS reporting in 2021, 2022, and 2023. • The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APP reporting in 2021, 2022, and 2023.

Percentage of all MIPS Eligible Clinicians	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from traditional MIPS reporting in 2021 divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians overall in 2021. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Note: The same calculation is performed for the 2022 and 2023 performance years. The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APP reporting in 2021 divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians overall in 2021. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Note: The same calculation is performed for the 2022 and 2023 performance years.
--	--

Table 10. Changes in MIPS Participation by [Reporting Option](#)

Metric	Description
Change in Participation by Reporting Option from prior year (Number)	<p>2021 to 2022</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from traditional MIPS reporting in 2022 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from traditional MIPS reporting in 2021. The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APP reporting in 2022 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APP reporting in 2021. <p>2022 to 2023</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from traditional MIPS reporting in 2023 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from traditional MIPS reporting in 2022. The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APP reporting in 2023 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APP reporting in 2022.
Change in Participation by Reporting Option from prior year (Percentage)	<p>2021 to 2022</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from traditional MIPS reporting in 2022 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from traditional MIPS reporting in 2021) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from traditional MIPS reporting in 2021.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APP reporting in 2022 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APP reporting in 2021) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APP reporting in 2021. <p>2022 to 2023</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from traditional MIPS reporting in 2023 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from traditional MIPS reporting in 2022) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from traditional MIPS reporting in 2022. • (The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APP reporting in 2023 minus the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APP reporting in 2022) divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APP reporting in 2022.
--	---

Table 11. MVP Participation Phases

Metric	Description
Count of Clinicians (Registered for an MVP)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The total number of clinicians who are represented in MVP registrations.
Count of Clinicians (Submitted MVP Data)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who submitted data for the MVP they registered for. This is a subset of the total number of clinicians who registered for an MVP.
Count of Clinicians (Submitted MVP Data and for Another Reporting Option)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who submitted data for the MVP they registered for and for traditional MIPS or the APP. This is a subset of the total number of clinicians who submitted MVP data.
Count of Clinicians (Received a Final Score from MVP Reporting)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score based on the MVP data they reported. This is a subset of the total number of clinicians who submitted MVP and traditional MIPS data.

Table 12a. MVP Registration and Participation Numbers (MVPs Overall)

Metric	Description
Count of Clinicians Registered for an MVP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of clinicians who are represented in MVP registrations.
Percentage of Clinicians Who Registered for any MVP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of clinicians who are represented in MVP registrations divided by the total number of clinicians who are represented in MVP registrations.
Count of MIPS Eligible Clinicians Who <u>Reported</u> an MVP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who submitted data for the MVP they registered for. This is a subset of the total number of clinicians who registered for an MVP.
Percentage of Clinicians Who <u>Reported</u> an MVP (Out of All Clinicians Who Registered for an MVP)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who submitted data for the MVP they registered for divided by the total number of clinicians who are represented in MVP registrations.
Count of Clinicians Who Received a Final Score from an MVP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score based on the MVP data they reported. This is a subset of the total number of clinicians who submitted MVP data.
Percentage of Clinicians Who Received a Final Score from an MVP (Out of All Clinicians Who Registered for an MVP)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score based on the MVP data they reported divided by the total number of clinicians who are represented in MVP registrations.

Table 12b. MVP Registration and Participation Numbers (Solo, Small, and Rural Practitioners – MVPs Overall)

Metric	Description
Count of Clinicians Registered for an MVP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Solo Practitioners: The total number of solo practitioners who are represented in MVP registrations. Small Practices: The total number of clinicians in small practices who are represented in MVP registrations. Rural: The total number of rural clinicians who are represented in MVP registrations

	<p>Safety Net Provider: The total number of safety net providers who are represented in MVP registrations.</p>
<p>Percentage of Clinicians Who Registered for any MVP</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solo Practitioners: The total number of solo practitioners who are represented in MVP registrations divided by the total number of clinicians who registered for an MVP. • Small Practices: The total number of clinicians in small practices who are represented in MVP registrations divided by the total number of clinicians who registered for an MVP. • Rural: The total number of rural clinicians who are represented in MVP registrations divided by the total number of clinicians who registered for an MVP. • Safety Net Provider: The total number of safety net providers who are represented in MVP registrations divided by the total number of clinicians who registered for an MVP.
<p>Count of Clinicians Who <u>Reported</u> an MVP</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solo Practitioners: The total number of solo practitioners who submitted data for the MVP they registered for. • Small Practices: The total number of clinicians in small practices who submitted data for the MVP they registered for. • Rural: The total number of rural clinicians who submitted data for the MVP they registered for. • Safety Net Provider: The total number of safety net providers who submitted data for the MVP they registered for.
<p>Percentage of Clinicians Who <u>Reported</u> an MVP (Out of the Clinician Type Who Registered for an MVP)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solo Practitioners: The total number of solo practitioners who submitted data for the MVP they registered for divided by the total number of solo practitioners who registered for an MVP. • Small Practices: The total number of clinicians in small practices who submitted data for the MVP they registered for divided by the total number of solo practitioners who registered for an MVP. • Rural: The total number of rural clinicians who submitted data for the MVP they registered for divided by the total number of solo practitioners who registered for an MVP. • Safety Net Provider: The total number of safety net providers who submitted data for the MVP they registered for divided by the total number of solo practitioners who registered for an MVP
<p>Count of Clinicians Who Received a Final Score from an MVP</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solo Practitioners: The total number of solo practitioners who received a final score based on the MVP data they reported. • Small Practices: The total number of clinicians in small practices who received a final score based on the MVP data they reported.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rural: The total number of rural clinicians who received a final score based on the MVP data they reported. • Safety Net Provider: The total number of safety net providers who received a final score based on the MVP data they reported.
Percentage of Clinicians Who Received a Final Score from an MVP (Out of the Clinician Type Who Registered for an MVP)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solo Practitioners: The total number of solo practitioners who received a final score based on the MVP data they reported divided by the total number of solo practitioners who registered for an MVP. • Small Practices: The total number of clinicians in small practices who received a final score based on the MVP data they reported divided by the total number of clinicians in small practices who registered for an MVP. • Rural: The total number of rural clinicians who received a final score based on the MVP data they reported divided by the total number of rural clinicians who registered for an MVP. • Safety Net Provider: The total number of safety net providers who received a final score based on the MVP data they reported divided by the total number of safety net providers who registered for an MVP.

Table 13. MVP Registration and Participation Numbers by MVP

Metric	Description
Count of Clinicians Registered for the MVP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For each MVP: The total number of clinicians registered for the specific MVP.
Percentage of Clinicians Who Registered for any MVP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For each MVP: The total number of clinicians registered for the specific MVP divided by the total number of clinicians registered for any MVP.
Count of MIPS Eligible Clinicians Who <u>Reported</u> an MVP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For each MVP: The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who submitted data for the MVP.
Percentage of Clinicians Who <u>Reported</u> an MVP (Out of All Clinicians Who Registered for an MVP)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For each MVP: The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who submitted data for the MVP they registered for divided by the total number of clinicians who registered for the MVP.

Count of Clinicians Who Received a Final Score from an MVP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For each MVP: The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score based on the MVP data they reported.
Percentage of Clinicians Who Received a Final Score from an MVP (Out of All Clinicians Who Registered for an MVP)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For each MVP: The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score based on the MVP data they reported divided by the total number of clinicians divided by the total number of clinicians who registered for the MVP.

Table 14. MVP Final Scores (MVPs Overall)

Metric	Description
Mean Overall Score ³ – All Clinicians Who Reported an MVP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of the overall scores² received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from MVP reporting divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who reported an MVP. • The sum of the overall scores received by solo practitioners from MVP reporting divided by the total number of by solo practitioners who reported an MVP. • The sum of the overall scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians in small practices from MVP reporting divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in small practices who reported an MVP. • The sum of the overall scores received by rural MIPS eligible clinicians from MVP reporting divided by the total number of rural MIPS eligible clinicians who reported an MVP. • The sum of the overall scores received by safety net providers from MVP reporting divided by the total number of safety net providers who reported an MVP.
Median Overall Score ³ – All Clinicians Who Reported an MVP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of the overall scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from MVP reporting. • The middle value of the overall scores received by all solo practitioners from MVP reporting. • The middle value of the overall scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians in small practices from MVP reporting.

³ The term “overall score” is used in these resources to refer to the score calculated from data submitted for a MIPS reporting or participation option, but that wasn’t necessarily the clinician’s final score. (The overall score is between 0 and 100 points, based on all available performance categories and bonus points.) For example, a group that reported both traditional MIPS and an MVP has 2 overall scores – one calculated for traditional MIPS and the other for their MVP. The higher of these will be their final score, which determines the group’s MIPS payment adjustment.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of the overall scores received by all rural MIPS eligible clinicians from MVP reporting. • The middle value of the overall scores received safety net providers from MVP reporting.
Mean Final Score – All Clinicians Who Received a Final Score from MVP Reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of the final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from MVP reporting divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from MVP reporting. • The sum of the final scores received by solo practitioners from MVP reporting divided by the total number of solo practitioners who received a final score from MVP reporting. • The sum of the final scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians in small practices from MVP reporting divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in small who received a final score from MVP reporting. • The sum of the final scores received by rural MIPS eligible clinicians from MVP reporting divided by the total number of rural MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from MVP reporting. • The sum of the final scores received by safety net providers from MVP reporting divided by the total number of safety net providers who received a final score from MVP reporting.
Median Final Score – All Clinicians Who Received a Final Score from MVP Reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of the final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from MVP reporting. • The middle value of the final scores received by all solo practitioners from MVP reporting. • The middle value of the final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians in small practices from MVP reporting. • The middle value of the final scores received by all rural MIPS eligible clinicians from MVP reporting. • The middle value of the final scores received safety net providers from MVP reporting.

Table 15. Comparative Overall⁴ Scores

Metric	Description
Mean Overall Score⁴ (MVP)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of the overall scores⁴ from MVP reporting received by all MIPS eligible clinicians who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS. • The sum of the overall scores from MVP reporting received by solo practitioners reported an MVP and traditional MIPS divided by the total number of by solo practitioners who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS. • The sum of the overall scores from MVP reporting received by MIPS eligible clinicians in small practices who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in small practices who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS. • The sum of the overall scores from MVP reporting received by rural MIPS eligible clinicians who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS divided by the total number of rural MIPS eligible clinicians who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS. • The sum of the overall scores from MVP reporting received by safety net providers who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS divided by the total number of safety net providers who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS.
Mean Overall Score⁴ (Traditional MIPS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of the overall scores² received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from traditional MIPS reporting divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS. • The sum of the overall scores received by solo practitioners from traditional MIPS reporting divided by the total number of by solo practitioners who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS. • The sum of the overall scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians in small practices from traditional MIPS reporting divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in small practices who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS. • The sum of the overall scores received by rural MIPS eligible clinicians from traditional MIPS reporting divided by the total number of rural MIPS eligible clinicians who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS.

⁴ The term “overall score” is used in these resources to refer to the score calculated from data submitted for a MIPS reporting or participation option, but that wasn’t necessarily the clinician’s final score. (The overall score is between 0 and 100 points, based on all available performance categories and bonus points.) For example, a group that reported both traditional MIPS and an MVP has 2 overall scores – one calculated for traditional MIPS and the other for their MVP. The higher of these will be their final score, which determines the group’s MIPS payment adjustment.

- The sum of the overall scores received by **safety net providers** from traditional MIPS reporting divided by the total number of safety net providers who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS.

Tables 16 – 23.

Tables 16 – 123, listed below, all include the same metrics and definitions in the table that follows.

- Table 16. 20 Most Frequently Used Quality Measures in 2023 (Excluding Qualified Clinical Data Registry (QCDR) Measures)
 - These are the 20 (non-QCDR) measures most frequently contributing to a clinician’s final score.
- Table 17. 20 Most Frequently Used Qualified Clinical Data Registry (QCDR) Measures in 2023
 - These are the 20 QCDR measures most frequently contributing to a clinician’s final score.
- Table 18. 20 Least Frequently Used Quality Measures in 2023 (Excluding QCDR Measures)
 - These are the 20 (non-QCDR) measures least frequently contributing to a clinician’s final score.
- Table 19. 20 Least Frequently Used QCDR Measures in 2023
 - These are the 20 QCDR measures least frequently contributing to a clinician’s final score.
- Table 20. 20 Highest Scoring Quality Measures in 2023 (Excluding QCDR Measures)
 - These are the 20 highest scoring, non-QCDR measures contributing to a clinician’s final score.
 - Excludes measures used in final scores for less than 2% of clinicians (measure utilization rate must be greater than 2%).
- Table 21. 20 Highest Scoring QCDR (Quality) Measures in 2023
 - Excludes measures used in final scores for less than 2% of clinicians. However, no highest score QCDR quality measure has a utilization rate greater than 2%.
 - As a result, no data are available for this table.
- Table 22. 20 Lowest Scoring Quality Measures in 2023 (Excluding QCDR Measures)
 - These are the 20 lowest scoring, non-QCDR measures contributing to a clinician’s final score.
 - Excludes measures used in final scores for less than 2% of clinicians (measure utilization rate must be greater than 2%).
- Table 23. 20 Lowest Scoring QCDR (Quality) Measures in 2023
 - Excludes measures used in final scores for less than 2% of clinicians. However, no lowest score QCDR quality measure has a utilization rate greater than 2%.
 - As a result, no data are available for this table.

Metric	Description
Number of Clinicians Scored on the Measure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians for whom the measure (identified by measure ID, collection type and measure name) counted toward their final score.
Percentage of Clinicians Scored on the Measure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians for whom the measure counted toward their final score divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a score in the quality performance category.

Mean Measure Score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of the measure achievement points earned by MIPS eligible clinicians for whom the measure counted toward their final score divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians for whom the measure counted toward their final score.
10th Percentile Measure Score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The score that falls within the bottom 10th percentile of the measure achievement points across all MIPS eligible clinicians for whom the measure counted toward their final score. 90% of the scores earned for this measure were higher than this score.
50th Percentile Measure Score (Median)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The middle value of the measure achievement points across all MIPS eligible clinicians for whom the measure counted toward their final score. 50% of the scores earned for this measure were higher than this score.
90th Percentile Measure Score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The score that falls in the top 10th percentile of the measure achievement points across all MIPS eligible clinicians for whom the measure counted toward their final score. 10% of the scores earned for this measure were higher than this score.

Table 24. Top 2 Quality Measures Included in a Clinician's Final Score by Specialty⁵

- Table 24a (Includes administrative claims measures)
- Table 24b (Excludes administrative claims measures)

Metric	Description
Most Frequently Reported Quality Measure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The most common measure included in the final score of MIPS eligible clinicians in a given specialty.
Second Most Frequently Reported Quality Measure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The second most common measure included in the final score of MIPS eligible clinicians in a given specialty.
Percentage of Clinicians within the Specialty Who Reported the Measure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a given specialty for whom the measure counted toward their final score divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in that specialty.

⁵ Specialty is derived from the specialty codes documented in Medicare Part B claims submitted to CMS for reimbursement; specialty is determined for each unique TIN/NPI combination associated with an individual clinician.

Table 25. Cost Measure Performance in 2023 (All Measures)

Metric	Description
Number of MIPS Eligible Clinicians Scored on the Measure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of MIPS eligible clinicians for whom the measure counted toward their final score
Percent of All MIPS Eligible Clinicians Scored on the Measure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of MIPS eligible clinicians for whom the measure counted toward their final score divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a score in the cost performance category.
Mean Measure Score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of the measure achievement points earned by MIPS eligible clinicians for whom the measure counted toward their final score divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians for whom the measure counted toward their final score.
10th Percentile Measure Score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The 10th percentile of the measure achievement points across all MIPS eligible clinicians for whom the measure counted toward their final score. 90% of the scores earned for this measure were higher than this score.
50th Percentile Measure Score (Median)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The middle value of the measure achievement points across all MIPS eligible clinicians for whom the measure counted toward their final score. 50% of the scores earned for this measure were higher than this score.
90th Percentile Measure Score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The 90th percentile of the measure achievement points across all MIPS eligible clinicians for whom the measure counted toward their final score. 10% of the scores earned for this measure were higher than this score.

Table 26. 20 Most Frequently Reported Improvement Activities in 2023

Metric	Description
Number of Clinicians who Reported the Activity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of MIPS eligible clinicians reporting a given improvement activity (identified by activity ID and name)
Percentage of Clinicians Who Reported the Activity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of MIPS eligible clinicians reporting a given improvement activity divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a score in the improvement activities performance category⁶.

Table 27. Promoting Interoperability Measures Reported in 2023

Metric	Description
Number of Clinicians who Reported the Measure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of MIPS eligible clinicians who reported the measure or exclusion (identified by objective, measure ID, measure name and measure type⁷).
Percentage of Clinicians Who Reported the Measure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of MIPS eligible clinicians who reported the measure divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a score in the Promoting Interoperability performance category.

Table 28. Unweighted⁸ Performance Category Scores

Metric	Description
Overall Unweighted Mean Score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of unweighted performance category scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians who received a score in the given performance category divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a score in that performance category.

⁶ The total number of MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a score in the improvement activities performance category includes MIPS eligible clinicians also participating in an APM who received partial or full credit in this MIPS performance category due to their APM participation.

⁷ The available Promoting Interoperability measure types are required, optional/bonus, and exclusion. MIPS eligible clinicians must report required measures (or claim an exclusion if they qualify). Optional measures can be reported for bonus points.

⁸ The unweighted score is the sum of points achieved divided by the sum of available points for the performance category. The unweighted score is represented as a percentage from 0 – 100, before it's multiplied by the performance category's weight which determines the number of points the performance category will contribute to a MIPS eligible clinician's final score.

Overall Unweighted Median Score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The middle value of the unweighted performance category scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians who received a score in the given performance category.
Number of MIPS Eligible Clinicians Who Received a Score for the Performance Category	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a score in a given performance category.
Percentage of All MIPS Eligible Clinicians	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a score in a given performance category divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians.

Table 29. 2023 Final Scores and 2025 Payment Adjustments

Metric	Description
Final Score	<p>Mean</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians. The sum of MIPS final scores received by all engaged MIPS eligible clinicians divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians. The sum of MIPS final scores received by all non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians. <p>Median</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians. The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by all engaged MIPS eligible clinicians. The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by all non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians.

Payment Adjustment	<p>Mean</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of MIPS payment adjustment percentages received by all MIPS eligible clinicians divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians. The sum of MIPS payment adjustment percentages received by all engaged MIPS eligible clinicians divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians. The sum of MIPS payment adjustment percentages received by all non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians. <p>Median</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustment percentages received by all MIPS eligible clinicians. The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustment percentages received by all engaged MIPS eligible clinicians. The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustment percentages received by all non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians.
--------------------	--

Table 30a. 2023 Final Scores and 2025 Payment Adjustments by [Practice Size](#)

Metric	Description
Final Score	<p>Description displayed for solo practitioners; each of these is repeated for the other practice sizes (2 – 15, 16 – 99, and 100+ clinicians).</p> <p>Mean</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners. The sum of MIPS final scores received by all engaged MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners. The sum of MIPS final scores received by all non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners. <p>Median</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners. The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by engaged MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners. The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners.

Payment Adjustment	<p>Mean</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of MIPS payment adjustments received by all MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners. The sum of MIPS payment adjustments received by all engaged MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners. The sum of MIPS payment adjustments received by all non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners. <p>Median</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments received by all MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners. The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments received by engaged MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners. The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments received by non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners.
--------------------	--

Table 30b. 2023 Final Scores and 2025 Payment Adjustments by [Special Status](#)/Designation

Metric	Description
Final Score	<p>Description displayed for small practices; each of these is repeated for rural practices and safety net providers.</p> <p>Mean</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. The sum of MIPS final scores received by all engaged MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. The sum of MIPS final scores received by all non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible with the small practice special status. <p>Median</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by engaged MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status.
Payment Adjustment	<p>Description displayed for small practices; each of these is repeated for rural practices and safety net providers.</p> <p><u>Mean</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all engaged MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible with the small practice special status. • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible with the small practice special status. <p><u>Median</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by engaged MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status.

Table 31a. 2023 Final Scores and 2025 Payment Adjustments by MIPS Reporting Option

Metric	Description
Final Score	<p><u>Mean</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from traditional MIPS reporting divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from traditional MIPS reporting • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from APP reporting divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APP reporting. • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from MVP reporting divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from MVP reporting. <p><u>Median</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians from traditional MIPS reporting. • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians from APP reporting. • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians from MVP reporting.
Payment Adjustment	<p><u>Mean</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from traditional MIPS reporting divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from traditional MIPS reporting. • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from APP reporting divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APP reporting. • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from MVP reporting divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from MVP reporting. <p><u>Median</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by MIPS eligible clinicians from traditional MIPS reporting. • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by MIPS eligible clinicians from APP reporting. • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by MIPS eligible clinicians from MVP reporting.

Table 31b. 2023 Final Scores and 2025 Payment Adjustments by Participation Option

Metric	Description
Final Score	<p><u>Mean</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from individual participation divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from individual participation. • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from group participation divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from group participation. • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from subgroup participation divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from subgroup participation. • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from virtual group participation divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from virtual group participation. • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from APM Entity participation divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APM Entity participation. <p><u>Median</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians from individual participation. • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians from group participation. • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians from subgroup participation. • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians from virtual group participation. • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians from APM Entity participation.
Payment Adjustment	<p><u>Mean</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from individual participation divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from individual participation. • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from group participation divided by the total

	<p>number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from group participation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from subgroup participation divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from subgroup participation. • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from virtual group participation divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from virtual group participation. • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from APM Entity participation divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from APM Entity participation. <p>Median</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by MIPS eligible clinicians from individual participation. • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by MIPS eligible clinicians from group participation. • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by MIPS eligible clinicians from subgroup participation. • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by MIPS eligible clinicians from virtual group participation. • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by MIPS eligible clinicians from APM Entity participation. •
--	---

Table 32a. Final Score Trends by [Practice Size](#)

Metric	Description
Mean Final Scores	<p>For each of the 2021, 2022 and 2023 performance years:</p> <p>Solo Practitioners</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners. • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all engaged MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners. • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners.

	<p>2 – 15 Clinicians</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians. • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians. • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians. <p>16 – 99 Clinicians</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians. • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians. • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians. <p>100 or More Clinicians</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100 or more clinicians divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100 or more clinicians. • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100 or more clinicians divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100 or more clinicians. • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100 or more clinicians divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100 or more clinicians.
<p>Median Final Scores</p>	<p>For each of the 2021, 2022 and 2023 performance years:</p> <p>Solo Practitioners</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners. • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by engaging MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners. <p>2 – 15 Clinicians</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians. The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians. The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians. <p>16 – 99 Clinicians</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians. The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians. The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians. <p>100 or More Clinicians</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100 or more clinicians. The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100 or more clinicians. The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100 or more clinicians.
--	---

Table 32b. Final Score Trends by Special Status/Designation

Metric	Description
Mean Final Scores	<p>For each of the 2021, 2022 and 2023 performance years:</p> <p>Small Practice</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. The sum of MIPS final scores received by all engaged MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible with the small practice special status. The sum of MIPS final scores received by all non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible with the small practice special status.

	<p>Rural</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status. • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all engaged MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status. • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status. <p>Safety Net Provider</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians designated as a safety net provider divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians designated as a safety net provider. • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all engaged MIPS eligible clinicians designated as a safety net provider divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians designated as a safety net provider. • The sum of MIPS final scores received by all non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians designated as a safety net provider divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians designated as a safety net provider.
<p>Median Final Scores</p>	<p>For each of the 2021, 2022 and 2023 performance years:</p> <p>Small Practices</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by engaged MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. <p>Rural</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status. • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by engaged MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status. • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status.

	<p>Safety Net Provider</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians designated as a safety net provider. • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by engaged MIPS eligible clinicians designated as a safety net provider. • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians designated as a safety net provider.
--	--

Table 33. Overall Payment Adjustments

Metric	Description
Percent of MIPS eligible clinicians in payment adjustment/final score range (All)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Max Negative adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Negative adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Neutral adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Positive (Final Score 75.01 – 88.99) adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Positive (Final Score 89.00 – 100) adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians.
Percent of MIPS Eligible Clinicians in payment adjustment/final score range (Non-Reporting)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Max Negative adjustment divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians. • The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Negative adjustment divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians. • The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Neutral adjustment divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians. • The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Positive (Final Score 75.01 – 88.99) adjustment divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians. • The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians receiving a Positive (Final Score 89.00 – 100) adjustment divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians.

Table 34a. 2025 Payment Adjustment Types by Practice Size

Metric	Description
<p><u>Payment adjustment type</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Max Negative • Negative • Neutral • Positive (Final Score 75.01 – 88.99) Only • Positive (Final Score 89.00 – 100) <p>(Number and Percentage)</p>	<p>Description displayed for <u>Max Negative</u> payment adjustment; each of these is repeated for the other 4 payment adjustment types: negative, neutral, positive (final score 75.01 – 88.99) and positive (final score 89.00 – 100).</p> <p>Solo Practitioners</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of <u>MIPS eligible clinicians</u> who are solo practitioners. • The number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. • The number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners. • The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. • The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners. <p>2 – 15 Clinicians</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 2 – 15 clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 2 – 15 clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 2 – 15 clinicians. • The number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 2 – 15 clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. • The number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 2 – 15 clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 2 – 15 clinicians. • The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 2 – 15 clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. • The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 2 – 15 clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 2 – 15 clinicians.

16 – 99 Clinicians

- The number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 16 – 99 clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment.
- The number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 16 – 99 clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment **divided by** the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 16 – 99 clinicians.
- The number of **engaged** MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 16 – 99 clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment.
- The number of **engaged** MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 16 – 99 clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment **divided by** the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 16 – 99 clinicians.
- The number of **non-reporting** MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 16 – 99 clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment.
- The number of **non-reporting** MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 16 – 99 clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment **divided by** the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 16 – 99 clinicians.

100+ Clinicians

- The number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 100 or more clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment.
- The number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 100 or more clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment **divided by** the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 100 or more clinicians.
- The number of **engaged** MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 100 or more clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment.
- The number of **engaged** MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 100 or more clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment **divided by** the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 100 or more clinicians.
- The number of **non-reporting** MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 100 or more clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment.
- The number of **non-reporting** MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 100 or more clinicians receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment **divided by** the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice of 100 or more clinicians.

Table 34b. 2025 Payment Adjustment Types by [Special Status](#)

Metric	Description
<p>Payment adjustment type</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Max Negative • Negative • Neutral • Positive (Final Score 75.01 – 88.99) • Positive (Final Score 89.00 – 100) <p>(Number and Percentage)</p>	<p>Description displayed for <u>Max Negative</u> payment adjustment; each of these is repeated for the other 4 payment adjustment types: negative, neutral, positive (final score 75.01 – 88.99) and positive (final score 89.00 – 100).</p> <p>Small Practices</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. • The number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. • The number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. • The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. • The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. <p>Rural</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status. • The number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. • The number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status. • The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status. <p>Safety Net Providers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. The number of MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers. The number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. The number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers. The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. The number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers.
--	---

Table 35a. 2025 Payment Adjustment Types by MIPS Reporting Option

Metric	Description
<p><u>Payment adjustment type</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Max Negative Negative Neutral Positive (Final Score 75.01 – 88.99) Positive (Final Score 89.00 – 100) <p>(Number and Percentage)</p>	<p>Description displayed for <u>Max Negative</u> payment adjustment; each of these is repeated for the other 4 payment adjustment types: negative, neutral, positive (final score 75.01 – 88.99) and positive (final score 89.00 – 100).</p> <p>Traditional MIPS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from traditional MIPS reporting receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from traditional MIPS reporting receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from traditional MIPS reporting. <p>APP</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from APP reporting receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from APP reporting receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from APP reporting. <p>MVPs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from MVP reporting receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from virtual MVP reporting receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from MVP reporting.
--	--

Table 35b. 2025 Payment Adjustment Types by Participation Option

Metric	Description
<p><u>Payment adjustment type</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Max Negative • Negative • Neutral • Positive (Final Score 75.01 – 88.99) • Positive (Final Score 89.00 – 100) <p>(Number and Percentage)</p>	<p>Description displayed for <u>Max Negative</u> payment adjustment; each of these is repeated for the other 4 payment adjustment types: negative, neutral, positive (final score 75.01 – 88.99) and positive (final score 89.00 – 100).</p> <p>Individual</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from individual participation receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from individual participation receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from individual participation. <p>Group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from group participation receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from group participation receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from group participation. <p>Subgroup</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from subgroup participation receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from subgroup participation receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from subgroup participation. <p>Virtual Group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from virtual group participation receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from virtual group participation receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from virtual group participation. <p>APM Entity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from APM Entity participation receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from APM Entity participation receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with a final score from APM Entity participation.
--	--

Table 36. 2025 Payment Adjustment Types by Clinician Types

Metric	Description
<p><u>Payment adjustment type</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Max Negative • Negative • Neutral • Positive (Final Score 75.01 – 88.99) Only • Positive (Final Score 89.00 – 100) <p>(Number and Percentage)</p>	<p>Description displayed for <u>Max Negative</u> payment adjustment; for each clinician type, each of these is repeated for the other 4 payment adjustment types: negative, neutral, positive (final score 75.01 – 88.99) and positive (final score 89.00 – 100)..</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians of a given clinician type receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians of a given clinician type receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians of that clinician type.

Table 37. 2025 Payment Adjustment Types by Specialty

Metric	Description
<p><u>Payment adjustment type</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Max Negative • Negative • Neutral • Positive (Final Score 75.01 – 88.99) Only • Positive (Final Score 89.00 – 100) <p>(Number and Percentage)</p>	<p>Description displayed for Max Negative payment adjustment; for each specialty, each of these is repeated for the other 4 payment adjustment types: negative, neutral, positive (final score 75.01 – 88.99) and positive (final score 89.00 – 100).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a given specialty receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment. • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a given specialty receiving a Max Negative payment adjustment divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in that specialty.

Table 38a. Payment Adjustment Trends by Practice Size

Metric	Description
Mean Payment Adjustments	<p>For each of the 2021, 2022 and 2023 performance years:</p> <p>Solo Practitioners</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners. • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all engaged MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners. • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners. <p>2 – 15 Clinicians</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians. • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians. • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15

	<p>clinicians divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians.</p> <p>16 – 99 Clinicians</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians. • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians. • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians. <p>100 or More Clinicians</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100 or more clinicians divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100 or more clinicians. • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100 or more clinicians divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100 or more clinicians. • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100 or more clinicians divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100 or more clinicians.
Median Payment Adjustments	<p>For each of the 2021, 2022 and 2023 performance years:</p> <p>Solo Practitioners</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners. • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by engaged MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners. • The middle value of all MIPS final scores received by non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners. <p>2 – 15 Clinicians</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians. • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 2 – 15 clinicians. <p>16 – 99 Clinicians</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians. • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians. • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 16 – 99 clinicians. <p>100 or More Clinicians</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100 or more clinicians. • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by engaged MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100 or more clinicians. • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians in a practice with 100 or more clinicians.
--	---

Table 38b. Payment Adjustment Trends by Special Status

Metric	Description
Mean Payment Adjustment	<p>For each of the 2021, 2022 and 2023 performance years:</p> <p>Small Practice</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. • The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all engaged MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible with the small practice special status.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible with the small practice special status. <p>Rural</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status. The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all engaged MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status. The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status. <p>Safety Net Provider</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians designated as a safety net provider divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers. The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all engaged MIPS eligible clinicians designated as a safety net provider divided by the total number of engaged MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers. The sum of MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians designated as a safety net provider divided by the total number of non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers.
<p>Median Final Scores</p>	<p>For each of the 2021, 2022 and 2023 performance years:</p> <p>Small Practice</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by engaged MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status. The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the small practice special status.

	<p>Rural</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status. • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by engaged MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status. • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians with the rural special status. <p>Safety Net Providers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers. • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by engaged MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers. • The middle value of all MIPS payment adjustments (percentage) received by non-reporting MIPS eligible clinicians designated as safety net providers.
--	---

Table 39. MIPS Eligible Clinicians Who Received a MIPS Final Score and Payment Adjustment from APM Entity Participation

Metric	Description
Number of MIPS Eligible Clinicians	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians (identified by TIN/NPI) who received a MIPS final score and payment adjustment from APM Entity participation through the specific program or model.
Percentage of MIPS Eligible Clinicians	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of MIPS eligible clinicians (identified by TIN/NPI) who received a MIPS final score and payment adjustment from APM Entity participation through the specific program or model divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians (identified by TIN/NPI) who received a MIPS final score through any MIPS APM.

Table 40. [QP](#) Threshold Scores by [Advanced APM](#)

Metric	Description
Average Payment Threshold Score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of the payment scores assigned to each Advanced APM participant (identified by TIN/NPI) in a specific program or model divided by the number of Advanced APM participants (identified by TIN/NPI) in that program or model.
Average Patient Threshold Score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of the patient scores assigned to each Advanced APM participant (identified by TIN/NPI) in a specific program or model divided by the number of Advanced APM participants (identified by TIN/NPI) in that program or model.

Table 41: Participation and Qualifying APM Participant (QP) Status

Metric	Description
Number of Clinicians (Identified by NPI) in 2021	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2021 found on an APM Participant list and identified as participating in an Advanced APM (regardless of the clinician's QP status). The number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2021 found an APM Participant list and identified as a QP. The number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2021 found an APM Participant list and identified as a Partial QP.
Number of Clinicians (Identified by NPI) in 2022	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2022 found on an APM Participant list and identified as participating in an Advanced APM (regardless of the clinician's QP status). The number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2022 found an APM Participant list and identified as a QP. The number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2022 found an APM Participant list and identified as a Partial QP.
Number of Clinicians (Identified by NPI) in 2023	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2023 found on an APM Participant list and identified as participating in an Advanced APM (regardless of the clinician's QP status). The number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2023 found an APM Participant list and identified as a QP. The number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2023 found an APM Participant list and identified as a Partial QP.

<p>Change from 2021 to 2022 (Number)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (The number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2022 found on an APM Participant list and identified as participating in an Advanced APM (regardless of the clinician's QP status)) minus (the number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2021 found on an APM Participant list and identified as participating in an Advanced APM (regardless of the clinician's QP status)). • (The number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2022 found on an APM Participant list and identified as a QP) minus (the number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2021 found on an APM Participant list and identified as a QP). • (The number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2022 found on an APM Participant list and identified as a Partial QP) minus (the number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2021 found on an APM Participant list and identified as a Partial QP).
<p>Change from 2022 to 2023 (Number)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (The number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2023 found on an APM Participant list and identified as participating in an Advanced APM (regardless of the clinician's QP status)) minus (the number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2022 found on an APM Participant list and identified as participating in an Advanced APM (regardless of the clinician's QP status)). • (The number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2023 found on an APM Participant list and identified as a QP) minus (the number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2022 found on an APM Participant list and identified as a QP). • (The number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2023 found on an APM Participant list and identified as a Partial QP) minus (the number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2022 found on an APM Participant list and identified as a Partial QP).
<p>Change from 2021 to 2022 (Percentage)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • [(The number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2022 found on an APM Participant list and identified as participating in an Advanced APM (regardless of the clinician's QP status)) minus (the number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2021 found on an APM Participant list and identified as participating in an Advanced APM (regardless of the clinician's QP status))] divided by [the number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2021 found on an APM Participant list and identified as participating in an Advanced APM (regardless of the clinician's QP status))] • [(The number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2022 found on an APM Participant list and identified as a QP) minus (the number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2021 found on an APM Participant list and identified as a QP)] divided by [(the number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2021 found on an APM Participant list and identified as a QP)].

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <math>\frac{[(\text{The number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2022 found an APM Participant list and identified as a Partial QP) \text{ minus } (\text{the number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2021 found an APM Participant list and identified as a Partial QP})]}{[(\text{the number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2021 found an APM Participant list and identified as a Partial QP)]}</math>.
Change from 2022 to 2023 (Percentage)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <math>\frac{[(\text{The number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2023 found on an APM Participant list and identified as participating in an Advanced APM (regardless of the clinician's QP status)) \text{ minus } (\text{the number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2022 found on an APM Participant list and identified as participating in an Advanced APM (regardless of the clinician's QP status))]}{[(\text{the number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2022 found on an APM Participant list and identified as participating in an Advanced APM (regardless of the clinician's QP status))]}</math> • <math>\frac{[(\text{The number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2023 found an APM Participant list and identified as a QP) \text{ minus } (\text{the number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2022 found an APM Participant list and identified as a QP})]}{[(\text{the number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2022 found an APM Participant list and identified as a QP})]}</math> • <math>\frac{[(\text{The number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2022 found an APM Participant list and identified as a Partial QP) \text{ minus } (\text{the number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2022 found an APM Participant list and identified as a Partial QP})]}{[(\text{the number of clinicians (identified by NPI) in 2022 found an APM Participant list and identified as a Partial QP)]}</math>

Table 42. Participants in Advanced APMs Gain QP Status

Metric	Description
Number of Clinicians (in an Advanced APM) Not QP in 2021	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of clinicians in an Advanced APM who didn't achieve QP status in 2021.
Number of Clinicians Not QP in 2021 who became QP in 2022	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The number of clinicians in an Advanced APM who didn't achieve QP status in 2021 but DID achieve that status in 2022.

Percentage of Clinicians Not QP in 2021 who became QP in 2022	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of clinicians in an Advanced APM who didn't achieve QP status in 2021 but DID achieve that status in 2022 divided by the number of clinicians in Advanced APM who didn't achieve QP status in 2021.
---	---

2023 MVPs Reporting Supplement Data Dictionary

Table A. MVP Overall Score⁹ vs. MVP Final Score¹⁰ (MVP Detail)

Metric	Description
Mean Overall Score – Clinicians Who Reported this MVP	<p>For each MVP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of the overall scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from this MVP divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who reported this MVP.
Median Overall Score – Clinicians Who Reported this MVP	<p>For each MVP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The middle value of the overall scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from this MVP.
Mean Final Score – Clinicians Who Received a Final Score from this MVP	<p>For each MVP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of the final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians from this MVP divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from this MVP.
Median Final Score – Clinicians Who Received a Final Score from this MVP	<p>For each MVP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The middle value of the final scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from this MVP.
Mean Payment Adjustment – Clinicians Who Received a Final Score from this MVP	<p>For each MVP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of the payment adjustments (percentages) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians based on their final score from this MVP

⁹ The term “overall score” is used in these resources to refer to the score calculated from data submitted for a MIPS reporting or participation option, but that wasn't necessarily the clinician's final score. (The overall score is between 0 and 100 points, based on all available performance categories and bonus points.) For example, a group that reported both traditional MIPS and an MVP has 2 overall scores – one calculated for traditional MIPS and the other for their MVP. The higher of these will be their final score, which determines the group's MIPS payment adjustment.

¹⁰ A value between 0 and 100 points which is determined by assessing a MIPS eligible clinician's applicable measures and activities for each performance category. **A MIPS eligible clinician's final score determines their MIPS payment adjustment.**

	divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from this MVP.
Median Payment Adjustment – Clinicians Who Received a Final Score from this MVP	For each MVP: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The middle value of the payment adjustments (percentages) received by all MIPS eligible clinicians based on their final score from this MVP

Table B. MVP Reporting vs. MVP Final Score: Mean and Median Performance Category Scores (Clinician Type)

Metric	Description
Mean Performance Category Score	<p>Note: Description displayed for <u>quality category</u>; each of these is repeated for the other 3 performance categories: cost, Promoting Interoperability, improvement activities.</p> <p>All MIPS Eligible Clinicians</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of the unweighted quality scores received by all MIPS eligible who reported an MVP divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians who reported an MVP. The sum of the unweighted quality scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians who received their final score from MVP reporting divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from MVP reporting. <p>Solo Practitioners</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of the unweighted quality scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners who reported an MVP divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners who reported an MVP. The sum of the unweighted quality scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners who received their final score from MVP reporting divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners who received a final score from MVP reporting. <p>Small Practices</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of the unweighted quality scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians in small practices who reported an MVP divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians in small practices who reported an MVP.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of the unweighted quality scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians in small practices who received their final score from MVP reporting divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians in small practices who received a final score from MVP reporting. <p>Rural</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of the unweighted quality scores received by rural MIPS eligible clinicians who reported an MVP divided by the number of rural MIPS eligible clinicians who reported an MVP. • The sum of the unweighted quality scores received by rural MIPS eligible clinicians who received their final score from MVP reporting divided by the number of rural MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from MVP reporting. <p>Safety Net Providers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of the unweighted quality scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians who are safety net providers who reported an MVP divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians who are safety net providers who reported an MVP. • The sum of the unweighted quality scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians who are safety net providers who received their final score from MVP reporting divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians who are safety net providers who received a final score from MVP reporting.
<p>Median Performance Category Score</p>	<p>Note: Description displayed for <u>quality category</u>; each of these is repeated for the other 3 performance categories: cost, Promoting Interoperability, improvement activities.</p> <p>All MIPS Eligible Clinicians</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of the unweighted quality scores received by all MIPS eligible who reported an MVP. • The middle value of the unweighted quality scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians who received their final score from MVP reporting. <p>Solo Practitioners</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of the unweighted quality scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners who reported an MVP. • The middle value of the unweighted quality scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners who received their final score from MVP reporting. <p>Small Practices</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of the unweighted quality scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians in small practices who reported an MVP. • The middle value of the unweighted quality scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians in small practices who received their final score from MVP reporting. <p>Rural</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of the unweighted quality scores received by rural MIPS eligible clinicians who reported an MVP. • The middle value of the unweighted quality scores received by rural MIPS eligible clinicians who received their final score from MVP reporting. <p>Safety Net Providers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of the unweighted quality scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians who are safety net providers who reported an MVP. • The middle value of the unweighted quality scores received by MIPS eligible clinicians who are safety net providers who received their final score from MVP reporting.
--	---

Table C. MVP Reporting vs. MVP Final Score: Mean and Median Performance Category Scores (MVP Detail)

Metric	Description
Mean Performance Category Score	<p>Note: Description displayed for <u>quality category</u>; each of these is repeated for the other 3 performance categories: cost, Promoting Interoperability, improvement activities.</p> <p>For each MVP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of the unweighted quality scores received by all MIPS eligible who reported the MVP divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians who reported the MVP. • The sum of the unweighted quality scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians who received their final score from the MVP divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians who received a final score from the MVP.

Median Performance Category Score	<p>Note: Description displayed for <u>quality category</u>; each of these is repeated for the other 3 performance categories: cost, Promoting Interoperability, improvement activities.</p> <p>For each MVP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The middle value of the unweighted quality scores received by all MIPS eligible who reported the MVP. • The middle value of the unweighted quality scores received by all MIPS eligible clinicians who received their final score from the MVP.
--	---

Table D. MVP Performance Compared to Traditional MIPS Performance: Overall Scores¹¹ (MVP Detail)

Metric	Description
Mean Overall Score¹¹ from MVP Reporting	<p>For each MVP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of the overall scores received for this MVP by all MIPS eligible clinicians who reported both this MVP and traditional MIPS divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who reported this MVP and traditional MIPS.
Mean Overall Score¹¹ from Traditional MIPS Reporting	<p>For each MVP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sum of the overall scores received for traditional MIPS by all MIPS eligible clinicians who reported both this MVP and traditional MIPS divided by the total number of MIPS eligible clinicians who reported this MVP and traditional MIPS.

¹¹ The term “overall score” is used in these resources to refer to the score calculated from data submitted for a MIPS reporting or participation option, but that wasn’t necessarily the clinician’s final score. (The overall score is between 0 and 100 points, based on all available performance categories and bonus points.) For example, a group that reported both traditional MIPS and an MVP has 2 overall scores – one calculated for traditional MIPS and the other for their MVP. The higher of these will be their final score, which determines the group’s MIPS payment adjustment.

Table E. MVP Performance Compared to Traditional MIPS Performance: Performance Category Scores (Clinician Type)

Metric	Description
Mean Performance Category Score from MVP Reporting	<p>Note: Description displayed for <u>quality category</u>; each of these is repeated for the other 3 performance categories: cost, Promoting Interoperability, improvement activities.</p> <p>All MIPS Eligible Clinicians</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of the unweighted quality scores received from an MVP by all MIPS eligible who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS. <p>Solo Practitioners</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of the unweighted quality scores received from an MVP by MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS. <p>Small Practices</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of the unweighted quality scores received from an MVP by MIPS eligible clinicians in small practices who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians in small practices who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS. <p>Rural</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of the unweighted quality scores received from an MVP by rural MIPS eligible clinicians who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS divided by the number of rural MIPS eligible clinicians who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS. <p>Safety Net Providers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of the unweighted quality scores received from an MVP by MIPS eligible clinicians who are safety net providers who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians who are safety net providers who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS.

<p>Median Performance Category Score from Traditional MIPS Reporting</p>	<p>Note: Description displayed for <u>quality category</u>; each of these is repeated for the other 3 performance categories: cost, Promoting Interoperability, improvement activities.</p> <p>All MIPS Eligible Clinicians</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of the unweighted quality scores received from traditional MIPS by all MIPS eligible who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS. <p>Solo Practitioners</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of the unweighted quality scores received from traditional MIPS by MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians who are solo practitioners who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS. <p>Small Practices</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of the unweighted quality scores received from traditional MIPS by MIPS eligible clinicians in small practices who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians in small practices who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS. <p>Rural</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of the unweighted quality scores received from traditional MIPS by rural MIPS eligible clinicians who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS divided by the number of rural MIPS eligible clinicians who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS. <p>Safety Net Providers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of the unweighted quality scores received from traditional MIPS by MIPS eligible clinicians who are safety net providers who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians who are safety net providers who reported an MVP and traditional MIPS.
---	---

Table F. MVP Performance Compared to Traditional MIPS Performance: Performance Category Scores (MVP Detail)

Metric	Description
Mean Performance Category Score from MVP Reporting	<p>Note: Description displayed for <u>quality category</u>; each of these is repeated for the other 3 performance categories: cost, Promoting Interoperability, improvement activities.</p> <p>For each MVP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of the unweighted quality scores received from this MVP by all MIPS eligible who reported this MVP and traditional MIPS divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians who reported this MVP and traditional MIPS.
Median Performance Category Score from Traditional MIPS Reporting	<p>Note: Description displayed for <u>quality category</u>; each of these is repeated for the other 3 performance categories: cost, Promoting Interoperability, improvement activities.</p> <p>For each MVP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sum of the unweighted quality scores received from traditional MIPS by all MIPS eligible who reported this MVP and traditional MIPS divided by the number of MIPS eligible clinicians who reported this MVP and traditional MIPS.

Version History

Date	Change Description
06/25/2025	Updated to incorporate the Experience Report and MVPs Reporting Supplement.
05/01/2025	Original version